

# **Conrad N. Hilton Foundation Children and Youth in Foster Care Strategy**

Prepared for:



February 2012

San Francisco Washington, DC Boston | Geneva Seattle |

Executive Summary FSG.ORG

## **Executive Summary**

- The number of youth transitioning out of care annually is increasing, and these youth have very poor longterm outcomes.
- Youth who transition out of care have very poor education and employment outcomes; supporting youth to
  complete high school, be prepared for success in college, and begin their path to meaningful employment can
  contribute to self-sufficiency.
- Caregivers have a significant opportunity to influence the outcomes of older foster youth, yet they are often illprepared to support these older youth. Programs improving recruitment, training, and support for these
  caregivers can empower them to better prepare and support transition-age youth's (TAY) transition out of care.
- **Pregnant and parenting teens** and **crossover youth** have the poorest long-term outcomes among TAY and also incur the most costs to society; programs that support these vulnerable groups will improve outcomes and reduce the number of at-risk youth.
- In addition to program support, **system reform and strengthening** can contribute to improved outcomes for youth. Public systems are not aligned with one another, nor well-equipped to serve the needs of older youth. The Conrad N. Hilton Foundation can support greater system alignment and coordination across this broad set of stakeholders.
- Three mutually reinforcing activities will allow the Hilton Foundation to achieve its goal of ensuring youth transitioning out of care are on the path to success, able to live self-sufficiently and with the interpersonal connections they need to thrive:
  - Supporting programs enabling self-sufficiency through college and career readiness, strong caregivers, and services for high-risk youth
  - Strengthening and aligning systems and agencies with shared goals and coordinated activities to support TAY outcomes
  - Developing and disseminating new knowledge to inform practice and policy
- Their large populations of TAY, positive legislative environments, and the presence of other funders make **Los Angeles County** and **New York City** the strategic target geographies for the Hilton Foundation's investment.

### **Contents**

- I. Project Background
- II. Developing the Foundation's Strategic Approach
- III. Geographic Selection
- IV. Activities and Indicators
- V. Appendix

## The Strategy Development Process Had Three Phases

Phase I: Landscape Phase II: Development of Strategic Options

Phase III: Final Strategic Plan

#### **Phase I Activities**

- Conducted research on the landscape of needs, funding flows, current efforts, and intervention opportunities
- Conducted interviews with 30+ experts in funding, service provision, and policy
- Narrowed the focus on TAY and geographies of LA County and New York City
- Identified preliminary opportunities for focus areas

#### **Phase II and III Activities**

- Hosted convenings of key stakeholders in LA and NYC to vet preliminary strategy and understand partner opportunities
- Conducted secondary research on potential roles and activities, programmatic focus, interventions, and partners
- Conducted focus groups with foster youth in NYC and I A
- Conducted additional interviews with 30+ experts in LA and NYC
- Vetted and finalized strategy with Hilton Foundation board of directors

### **Contents**

- I. Project Background
- II. Developing the Foundation's Strategic Approach
- III. Geographic Selection
- IV. Activities and Indicators
- V. Appendix

# The Foundation's Strategy Is Guided by a Set of Key Principles

# **Key Principles**

- Support large and vulnerable foster care population
- Drive systemic change
- Achieve significant and measurable impact
- Align with other Hilton Foundation initiatives
- Leverage public and private funds
- Pursue partnerships with others

# The Preliminary Foster Youth Landscape Led to Six Conclusions

- The number of **youth transitioning out of care** annually is increasing; these youth have very poor long-term outcomes
- 2 LA and NYC have the largest foster youth populations among U.S. cities and are well-positioned for strategic philanthropy
- Providing a stable placement with quality caregivers is critical for well-being
- Youth who transition out of care have very poor education and employment outcomes
- Two especially vulnerable populations warrant special attention due to poorer outcomes than their foster youth peers: **pregnant and parenting teens** and **crossover youth**
- Systems and organizations are in need of greater alignment to support optimal outcomes for transition-age youth

# Investing in Strengthening the Supports and Skills of Transition-Age Youth Is a Significant Need

# Lack of Support

- Youth are not given sufficient support to navigate their transition; they lack the skills to live independently by age 18 or 21
- Foster Parents are not trained to support older youth
- Youth often lack a connection to a supportive adult

# System Limitations

- Public systems are not well equipped to serve the needs of older youth
- Public systems influencing foster youth (e.g., child welfare, courts, education, health, juvenile justice) are not aligned

# Lack of Evidence

 There is not strong a strong evidence base for what programs work for increase self-sufficiency for older foster youth

Based on Landscape Research and Opportunities Identified, the Hilton Foundation Will Pursue Work Across Three Initiative Areas to Improve Outcomes for Youth who Transition out of Foster Care

Programs
Enabling SelfSufficiency

Through college and career readiness, strong caregivers, and services for highrisk youth Aligned Systems and Agencies

With shared goals and coordinated activities to support TAY outcomes

New Knowledge

Developed and disseminated to inform practice and policy

# A Significant Need and Opportunity Exist for the Hilton Foundation to Improve College and Career Readiness of Transition-Age Foster Youth

#### Need

- Education outcomes for youth who age out of foster care are sobering
  - While 40-50% enroll in college, studies show that between 2% and 11% earn a degree or certificate
  - Employment outcomes for TAY are poor and earnings are low; there is a strong association between educational attainment and earnings and employment
- Increased educational attainment is the largest driver of improved long-term TAY outcomes

#### **Opportunities**

- Support programs in high school / GED programs that prepare TAY for success in college and career
  - Education programs: High school programs that include scaffolding (e.g., mentors, tutors) to help TAY become academically college-ready
  - College preparation programs: Programs helping TAY transition to college (e.g., peer mentors, remedial testing prep, financial aid applications)
  - Internship programs: Internships focused on TAY can contribute to improved employment outcomes
- Pursue systemic change that will enable improved education and employment outcomes, such as:
  - Policies that increase priority treatment and supplemental supports for foster youth throughout the education continuum
  - Increased electronic data sharing between education and child welfare
  - Stronger enforcement of policy promoting stability of school placement

By better preparing youth for college and careers, the Foundation can contribute to increases in college completion rates and employment outcomes

# Caregivers Able to Support Older Youth Would Help Improve Transition-Age Youth Outcomes

#### Need

- As the most consistent presence in TAY lives, foster parents are a significant leverage point for improve TAY outcomes
  - 78% percent of LA foster youth live with foster families
  - 88% percent of foster youth in NYC live with foster families
- However, most foster parents are not prepared to support older foster youth in their development or to help them navigate their transition out of care; kin providers are particularly under supported

#### **Opportunities**

- Support programs that improve training of and support for family-based caregivers
  - Improve **recruiting mechanisms** for foster parents of older youth
  - Fund existing providers to develop and implement ongoing training and professional development curriculum for caregivers of older youth
- Pursue systemic change that will improve caregiver quality, such as:
  - Influence **training and certification** across the child welfare system for parents of older foster youth
  - Influence policy to ensure more social workers are allocated to kin care; improve reimbursement policies for kin care

Caregiver training and ongoing support builds the capacity of adult figures who connect with TAY most intensively

# Opportunities Exist to Improve Outcomes for Vulnerable Youth: Pregnant and Parenting Teens and Crossover Youth

#### Need

- At-risk TAY have the poorest outcomes and incur the majority of costs for public services
  - Crossover youth, involved in both juvenile justice and child welfare, have the worst long-term outcomes (education, employment, health, use of public services) of all foster youth
  - Transition-age youth with children struggle to complete their education and achieve economic stability;
     parents with a history of foster care are almost twice as likely as parents with no such history to see their own children placed in foster care or become homeless

#### **Opportunities**

- Improve outcomes for pregnant and parenting TAY mothers and reduce TAY pregnancies
  - Fund programs to improve outcomes for TAY parents and their children
  - Support teen pregnancy postponement programs
- Improve outcomes for crossover youth in probation
  - Support programs to prevent delinquency, reduce incarceration rates, improve services, and reduce recidivism
- Pursue systemic change that will support pregnant and parenting teens and crossover youth, such as:
  - Policies that support mothers being placed with their children; access to publicly funded programs teaching healthy childrearing
  - Policies that support collaboration between justice, probation, and child welfare departments to identify
    youth at risk of crossing over and help them receive the appropriate services they need

The Foundation will take a holistic approach to improving outcomes for these youth

# Many Systems and Stakeholders Influence TAY Self-Sufficiency; Improving Their Alignment and Coordination Is Critical to TAY Outcomes



"There needs to be much more collaboration across systems. The fact that the child welfare system is seen as the corporate parent of these young people is somewhat of a problem because in reality there are a lot of other systems (education, health, employment) that touch transitioning youth and should be part of a concerted effort to support these adolescents."

- Interviewee

Strategic Approach

# Targeted and Comprehensive Approaches to Strengthening and Aligning Systems Will Be Important Components of the Strategy

**Approach** 

Capacity Strengthening Cross System Coordination

**Policy Alignment** 

#### **Targeted**

Address specific gaps in system alignment and coordination impacting TAY outcomes

Fund training and professional development opportunities for partners in collaboration efforts

Foster coordination in planning providers activity alignment to streamline efforts, improve educational attainment for foster youth, and share responsibility for the outcomes

Develop data sharing systems and policies that allow information sharing and alignment between agencies

#### Comprehensive

Promote system
alignment and
coordination across all
key organizations
influencing outcomes for
TAY

Fund capacity building of the systems key to crosssector coordination and support their ability to effectively implement policy Support facilitation of convenings and ongoing communication to establish shared goals / priorities, identify actions steps for shared pursuit of goals, and support ongoing coordination

Develop data sharing systems and policies that allow information sharing and alignment between agencies

# Creating and Disseminating Research, and Using It to Influence Funding and Policy, Can Occur at Regional and National Levels

- The evidence base for programs that improve foster youth self-sufficiency is not well established
- The Hilton Foundation has an opportunity to support research to strengthen the evidence base for programs that improve TAY outcomes
- Funding research and evaluation, and disseminating findings and learnings,
   can contribute to this need

Research to inform the field's knowledge on how to improve TAY outcomes, in LA, NYC, and nationally

Evaluation to measure the impact of and identify learnings from Hilton Foundation grants, to inform the Foundation's work and share learning with the field

Sharing learning on the local and national level will be crucial for turning findings into action across the field

### **Contents**

- I. Project Background
- II. Developing the Foundation's Strategic Approach
- III. Geographic Selection
- IV. Activities and Indicators
- V. Appendix

Geographic Selection FSG.ORG

# The Hilton Foundation's Work Will Focus in Los Angeles County and New York City

### California

- 60K in care; 5K transitioning out
- Positive legislative environment
- County run

### Los Angeles

• 30% CA foster youth are in LA



#### New York

- 28K in care; 1.5K transitioning out
- Positive legislative environment
- County run

### New York City

• 65% NY foster youth are in NYC

LA and NYC are home to one in five of U.S. foster youth and present opportunity to partner with funders and capitalize on positive policy environments

Geographic Selection FSG.ORG

# New York City Holds Promise for Improving Transition-Age Youth Outcomes

#### **Large Population**

#### **New York**

- 28,000 youth in care
- 1,500 youth aging out of foster care / year

#### **New York City**

- 65% of foster youth in NY live in NYC
- 3,900 youth in care age 15+; entries for youth age 16 – 20 have grown by more than 50% since 2000
- 800 1,000 age out of foster care / year

#### **Presence of Other Funders**

- With several active funders supporting disconnected youth, there is opportunity for partnerships especially in NYC
- Strong philanthropic sector

#### **Policy Context**

- Successful Children's Rights lawsuit in 1999 forced significant systems reform including improved data management system and rates of maltreatment
- NYC has covered benefits for foster youth until age 21 for many years
- NY State has passed state-level legislation supporting kinship guardianship
- Public systems are engaged and reformminded, but an opportunity exists to increase coordination across providers and public systems
- The child welfare system is county administered; NYC has contracted out the provision of most foster care services to performance-based nonprofit private agencies

Geographic Selection FSG.ORG

# Los Angeles County Presents Prime Opportunities for Impact with Transition-Age Youth

#### **Large Population**

#### California

- 60,000 youth in care
- 5,000 youth aging out of foster care / year

#### Los Angeles County

- 33% of foster youth in CA live in LA County
- 5,000 youth in care age 15+
- 1,600 2,000 age out of foster care / year

#### **Presence of Other Funders**

- High presence of other funders for potential partnerships
- Existing relationships with funders and public sector can be leveraged

#### **Policy Context**

- Key state legislation passed:
  - Fostering Connections AB 12 (2010)
  - Additional positive legislation supporting education, adoption, sibling placement, and kinship care
- Fostering Connections AB 12 is a pioneering policy that covers benefits for foster youth up to age 21
  - Public and nonprofit sectors in LA are committed to improving outcomes for this population and are beginning to collaborate in AB12 implementation
  - Successful implementation provides an opportunity to set a national example
- As in NYC, the child welfare system is county administered, thus county-level implementation will be key

Investment in LA County will affect the lives of a large number of youth and set an example for the state and country

### **Contents**

- I. Project Background
- II. Developing the Foundation's Strategic Approach
- III. Geographic Selection
- IV. Activities and Indicators
- V. Appendix

#### Vision

Youth transitioning out of care are on the path to success, able to live selfsufficiently and with the interpersonal connections they need to thrive

#### Initiative Areas

Programs Enabling Self-Sufficiency

Through college and career readiness, strong caregivers, and services for high-risk youth

# Aligned Systems and Agencies

With shared goals and coordinated activities to support TAY outcomes

# New Knowledge

Developed and disseminated to inform practice and policy

# Targeted Outcomes

College and career success

Strong caregivers for TAY

Positive outcomes for pregnant & parenting teens / crossover youth

Agencies and providers develop shared goals and align activities Barriers preventing alignment and collaboration among providers are removed

Research findings disseminated

Program
evaluations
inform
foundation
and fieldwide work

More funding for effective practices and policies

#### **Ultimate Result**

Transition-age youth have the skills and supports they need to live healthy and productive lives

# Monitoring and Evaluation Should Inform Continual Refinement of the Strategy and Programs and Help Build Field Knowledge



Potential for impact increases when:

- Strategy establishes the boundaries for evaluation
- Evaluation guides the development and refinement of a strategic approach

- A well-designed M&E system with a baseline study and/or comparison groups can inform continual learning and strategic refinement without investment in more resource-intensive randomized-control trials
- This approach of evaluation and continual strategy refinement should be done by all partners at every level (grantees and the Hilton Foundation)

Evaluation results can help build field knowledge and fill crucial evidence gaps

# The Evaluation Should Be Informed By Both Process and Outcome Indicators

- **Process indicators** measure how well projects are implemented, services are being delivered, and support being is provided to transition-age youth
  - Simple, straightforward indicators that are captured regularly across all programs
- Outcome indicators measure how the Foundation's resources have helped improve the outcomes for transition-age youth and supported them to thrive on the path to self-sufficiency and interconnectedness
  - More complex indicators, and therefore should be collected less frequently (e.g., once or twice per year) and only from the same small subset of program participants
  - Should be collected by grantee staff or external researchers / evaluators

The following slides lay out sample program activities, process indicators, and outcome indicators for each initiative area within the Foundation's strategy

# Framework and Indicators That May Inform the Foundation's Monitoring and Evaluation

	Outcomes
College and Career Readiness	<ul> <li>% of foster youth in LA and NYC who participate in college readiness and access programs</li> <li>% of foster youth in LA and NYC with improved postsecondary completion</li> <li>% of foster youth in LA and NYC with improved employment outcomes</li> </ul>
Caregivers	% of foster youth in LA and NYC for whom caregiver capacity is improved
Vulnerable Youth	<ul> <li>% of parenting teens and crossover youth in LA and NYC with improved long-term outcomes*</li> </ul>
System Improvement	<ul> <li># of cross-sector coordinated efforts created / strengthened</li> <li># annual convenings of organizations and agencies supporting TAY</li> <li># of positive / enforced policies for improving outcomes for TAY in target geographies achieved through advocacy</li> </ul>
Funding and Knowledge Sharing	<ul> <li>Research base around programs to improve TAY outcomes is expanded and shared at both local and national levels</li> <li>Hilton Foundation funding is matched by private-sector funders aligned with Foundation TAY goals</li> <li># of policies or programs informed by Hilton Foundation-funded research and evaluation</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup>Indicators to be finalized upon program evaluation, but may include metrics similar to the Midwest Study: educational attainment, employment, living arrangement, # children and whether they live with the parent, whether or not youth has been convicted of a crime



# TAY Access to Programs that Support them on the Path to Self-Sufficiency

# **Sample Activities**

#### The Hilton Foundation will:

- Make grants to key nonprofit or public partners
- Invest in capacity building of grantees when appropriate

#### **Grantee Partners will:**

- College and Career Readiness: Prepare TAY for postsecondary success by improving college readiness (academic and like skills) and helping youth navigate the transition to college
- Caregivers: Increase caregivers' capacity to support older youth, and their ability to transition to self-sufficiency
- Vulnerable Youth: Provide holistic supports to improve outcomes for parenting TAY and crossover youth

### **Sample Indicators**

#### **Process Indicators**

- Number of youth reached through programs in the three sub-strategies
- Number of caregivers reached through the caregiver strengthening efforts

#### **Outcome Indicators**

- College and Career Readiness: Increase in number of youth enrolling in postsecondary programs, completing programs, and obtaining stable employment
- Caregivers: Caregivers capacity is improved (e.g., ability to help youth access services for which they are eligible)
- Vulnerable Youth: Long -term improvement in outcomes of parenting teens and crossover youth\*

<sup>\*</sup>Indicators to be finalized upon program evaluation, but may include metrics similar to the Midwest Study: educational attainment, employment, living arrangement, # children and whether they live with the parent, whether or not youth has been convicted of a crime



# **Strengthening and Aligning Systems and Organizations**

### **Sample Activities**

#### The Hilton Foundation will:

- Convene and support key agencies and service providers to build collaboration and improve alignment
- Support targeted advocacy efforts to improve policy environment

#### **Grantee Partners will:**

- Agree on a shared agenda and set of goals to improve outcomes for TAY
- Coordinate and collaborate with each other to achieve the identified goals
- Pursue policy changes that overcome barriers to alignment and improve outcomes for TAY

### **Sample Indicators**

#### **Process Indicators**

- Number of organizations participating in setting shared goals
- · Number of convenings held
- Number of collaborative efforts developed

#### **Outcome Indicators**

- Agreement on common goals and progress measures
- Policy changes to improve alignment and TAY outcomes
- Improved data sharing policies at the state and county levels that lead to improvements in policy and practice



# **Creating and Disseminating Knowledge**

### **Sample Activities**

#### The Hilton Foundation will:

- Fund research aligned with strategic priorities
- Evaluate grants
- Share best practices and learnings with other funders and implementers
- Ensure that grantee partners report results and findings to the Hilton Foundation as well as sharing learning with the field
- Raise awareness among public and private funders of the importance of investment in TAY

#### **Grantee partners will:**

- Monitor and report outcomes of TAY within their programs
- Share findings from programs with other relevant TAY / FY service providers

### **Sample Indicators**

#### **Process Indicators**

- Data collection and analysis completed by grantees / research organizations
- Number of reports by partners on the results and findings of Hilton Foundationfunded programs
- Number of convenings or meetings with funders or government officials to share learnings, research findings, or best practices

#### **Outcome Indicators**

- Number of policies or private programs that adopt findings or learnings from Hilton Foundation-funded activities
- Amount of private funding drawn to the foster youth field in LA County and NYC

# **Appendix**

FSG.ORG

# Phase I Landscape Research Findings can be found at:

www.hiltonfoundation.org/images/stories/Downloads/
Programs/foster youth/
Foster Youth Landscape Deck.pdf

**Appendix** 

## Organizations Included in FSG Interviews

#### **National Landscape Annie E Casey Foundation Barry University Bayview Child Health Clinic California Youth Connections Casey Family Programs** Center for the Study of Social Policy Chapin Hall, University of Chicago **Eckerd Family Foundation Edna McConnell Clark Foundation Jim Casey Youth Opportunities** Initiative **K&L Gates Lucille Packard Children's Hospital Mainspring Consulting / Foster Care Work Group National Foster Youth Action Network SF Child Abuse Prevention Center Stoneleigh Foundation Stuart Foundation Texas Appleseed** The National Campaign to Prevent **Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy Tiger Foundation Tipping Point Foundation University of Chicago**

**University of Pennsylvania** 

**Winstead Consulting** 

Youth Law Center
Youth Villages

New York Landscape
Andrus Family Fund
Annie E. Casey Foundation
BNY Mellon
Casey Family Programs
Center for an Urban Future
Columbia University / Workplace
Center
City University of New York
City University of New York Prep
FEGS / The Academy
Gap Foundation
Good Shepherd Services
Local Initiatives Support Corporation
NYC
New York Foundling
NYC Administration for Children's
Services
NYC Administration for Children's
Services
NYC DOE
OSI
Pinkerton Foundation
Robin Hood
The Door
The Fund for Public Schools
The National Resource Center for
Permanency and Family Connections

Los Angeles Landscape
Alliance for Children's Rights
California Child Welfare
Co-investment Partnership
Casey Family Programs
Center for Social Service Research,
UC Berkeley
Child Welfare Initiative
Children's Law Center
DCFS / Crossover Youth Initiative
DCFS/ Youth Development Services
First Place for Youth
Five Acres
LA County 1st District Office
Los Angeles County Chief Executive
Office
Stuart Foundation
United Friends of the Children
Walter S. Johnson Foundation

<sup>\*</sup> Additional organizations were consulted by Hilton Foundation staff.

Appendix FSG.ORG

## **Key References**

- Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS).
- · Annie E. Casey Foundation, The, Kids Count Data Center
- Center for an Urban Future, (2012), "Fostering Careers."
- Child Welfare Performance Indicators Project, Center for Social Services Research, UC Berkley.
- Child Welfare Information Gateway, (2012), "Foster Care Statistics 2009."
- CLASP, (2010), State Fact Sheets on Child Welfare Funding 2010.
- Courtney, et al., (2005), "Midwest Evaluation of the Adult Functioning of Former Foster Youth: Outcomes at Age 19," Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago.
- Courtney, et al., (2010), "Distinct Subgroups of Former Foster Youth during Young Adulthood: Implications for Policy and Practice," Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago
- Culhane, et al., (2012), "Young Adult Outcomes of Youth Exiting Dependent or Delinquent Care in Los Angeles County," The Conrad N. Hilton Foundation.
- Independent Living Program Policy Unit, Child and Youth Permanency Branch, California Department of Social Services, (2002), "Report on the Survey of the Housing Needs of Emancipated Foster / Probation Youth."
- Justice Policy Institute, (2010), "Money Well Spent: How Positive Social Investments Will Reduce Incarceration Rates, Improve Public Safety, and Promote the well-being of communities."
- McCoy-Roth, et al., (2010), "Numbers of Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Continues to Rise; Increasing 64 Percent since 1999." Fostering Connections Resource Center.
- National Council for Juvenile and Family Court Judges, The, "When You Decide...: A Judges Guide to Pregnancy Prevention among Foster Youth," The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy.
- New York City's Administration for Children's Services, (2010), "NYC 2010 Community Snapshots." Bureau of Epidemiology Services, NYC DOHMH.
- Pecora, et al., (2005), "Improving family foster care: Findings from the Northwest Foster Care Alumni Study." Casey Family Programs.

Appendix FSG.ORG

## Key References, Cont'd

- Pew Charitable Trust and Jim Casey Youth Opportunities Initiative, (2007), "Time for Reform: Aging Out and On Their Own."
- Smith et al., (2009), "The National Report on Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking: America's Prostituted Children." Shared Hope International.
- Stuart Foundation, (2012), "First Look: Foster Youth Education Outcomes in Four California Counties."
- U.S. Children's Bureau, Administration for Children, Youth and Families, (2012), "Trends in Foster Care and Adoption."
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration of Child and Family Services, (2009), "Child Welfare Outcomes: 2004-2007 Report to Congress."
- Youth Transition Funders Group, (2006), "A Blueprint for Juvenile Justice Reform, Second Edition."